

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 JACOHEN WALKER,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 HENDERSON POLICE K9 and
7 HENDERSON POLICE,

8 Defendants

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00652-APG-DJA

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 9]

9 On January 6, 2023, Magistrate Judge Albregts recommended that I dismiss this action
10 because plaintiff Jacohen Walker failed to pay the filing fee or apply to proceed in forma
11 pauperis despite being given multiple extensions of time to do so. ECF No. 9. Walker did not
12 object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation.
13 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those
14 portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States*
15 *v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review
16 the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not
17 otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

18 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Albregts’ report and recommendation
19 (ECF No. 9) is accepted and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is
20 instructed to close this case.

21 DATED this 25th day of January, 2023.

22 

23 ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE